Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/810,327	MAKI, BRIAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert E. Fuller	3672
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Robert E. Fuller.	(3)	
(2) <u>Adrian Battison</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 June 2006	Time: Approx. 3:00 PM	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: 10 and 12.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

 $\omega_{t+n} = \vec{X}$ 

Application No. 10/810,327

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant regarding some clarity issues with respect to claim 12 and lack of antecedent basis issues with respect to claims 10 and 12. Specifically, with regard to claim 12, the phrase "wherein the sun of the first planetary gear set is driven" in line 3 was believed to be unclear and possibly incomplete. With regard to claims 10 and 12, the examiner believed that there was a lack of antecedent basis for "the sun" and "the ring" in these two claims. Per the interview, these issues were resolved by cancelling claim 10 and amending claim 12 as shown in the attached examiner's amendment.